IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

No. 5:16-CR-81-D No. 5:17-CV-290-D

JERMAINE LAWRENCE JOHNSON,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

On June 14, 2017, Jermaine Lawrence Johnson ("Johnson" or "petitioner") filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his 84-month sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 [D.E. 62]. On August 21, 2017, the court referred Johnson's ineffective-assistance claim concerning the alleged failure to file a notice of appeal to Magistrate Judge Gates for an evidentiary hearing and a memorandum and recommendation ("M&R"). See [D.E. 67]. On October 31, 2017, Judge Gates held an evidentiary hearing. See Tr. [D.E. 75]. On March 14, 2018, Judge Gates issued a comprehensive M&R [D.E. 78]. In that M&R, Judge Gates recommended that the court dismiss Johnson's failure-to-file-appeal-instruction claim and Johnson's newly-raised failure-to-consult claim. See M&R at 2–27. No party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the entire record, including the M&R, the transcripts, and the briefs.

The court agrees with the analysis in the M&R. See [D.E. 78].

In sum, the court ADOPTS the findings and conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 78], and DISMISSES Johnson's motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 [D.E. 62]. The court DENIES a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336–38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This _9_ day of April 2018.

AMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge